

FUSING OBSERVATIONS OF ATMOSPHERIC REFRACTIVITY WITH BACKGROUND FIELDS FROM A NUMERICAL WEATHER PREDICTION MODEL

Jablecki, M. C.<sup>1</sup>, Rogers, L. T.<sup>1</sup>, Hobbs, S. L.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>SPAWAR Systems Center 2858, San Diego, CA 92152-7385

<sup>2</sup>SPAWAR Systems Center 2711, San Diego, CA 92152-6193

Abstract Submission Form  
2004 National Radio Science  
Meeting

Abstract: rogers10442

Date Received: September 23, 2004

The authors present a new approach for fusing observed modified refractivity,  $M(z)$  where  $z$  is the height, with a background refractivity diagnosed from a numerical weather prediction (NWP) model. A key aspect of the approach is implementing a non-linear observation operator for handling differences between observed and modeled refractivity that are explained by vertical displacement as well as differences that can be characterized using linear methods.

The observation operator relies on a two-stage mapping. Let  $z$  be an array of height values. A Markov process is used to generate an array of perturbed heights  $z'$ . Thus  $M(z')$  possesses random displacements with respect to  $M(z)$ . A second mapping takes the form of  $M'(z') = M(z') + \epsilon$  where  $\epsilon$  is too created via a Markov process. The random mappings  $M(z) \rightarrow M'(z')$  can be generated *ad nauseum* and because of their Markov origins, an *a priori* probability ( $p_a$ ) can be associated with each one. Out of an ensemble of these mappings, we choose the subset that provide "good" fits to the observed data when the background field at the location of the observation is mapped through them; all subset members are assumed to have identical experimental probabilities ( $p_e$ 's) that sum to 1. All other mappings (those outside of the subset) have  $p_e = 0$ . Assuming the *a posteriori* probability  $p_p$  is the product  $p_p = p_a p_e$ , it is clear that the mapping having the highest *a priori* probability that is in the subset of good fits is the MAP estimator.

The non-linear observation operator is inserted in the (otherwise) familiar minimum variance optimal interpolation (MVOI) data assimilation scheme. Inserting the non-linear operator in this manner results in a sub-optimal estimation scheme but one that is quite practical. Real data are used to show that: (a) the scheme improves the handling of mismatches where vertical displacements of features are major factors, and (b) that the cost of the sub-optimality is not necessarily excessive.

1. (a) Ted Rogers  
SPAWARSYSCEN 2858  
49170 PROPAGATION PATH  
San Diego, CA  
92152-7385 US  
trogers@spawar.navy.mil
- (b) 6195531413
- (c) 6195531417
2. F - Wave Propagation and Remote Sensing
3. (a)
4. C - Contributed Paper
5. No special instructions