3/6/2010 Results

Jose-Luis Jimenez, Basic Member

Home Create Survey My Surveys

Support/Help | My Account | Log Out My Contacts



2nd AMS Data Analysis Clinic Mtg (2010) Evaluation

Analyze Results Edit & Review Invite & Deploy Share Results » Individual Responses » Raw Data Export Results Want to ensure your results are statistically valid? Send your survey to more people using Zoomerang **Results Overview** Print | Excel Export Sample- it's fast and affordable. Get a quot Filter: No filter applied Report 2nd AMS Data Analysis Clinic Mtg (2010) Evaluation Results Overview Survey Status: Active Launched: 2/26/2010 1:42 PM PRO New Cross Tab Report Comparison Completes: 28 (Does not include blank PREHIUM New Comparison Rpt Fmail Invites: 0 Visits: 30 Partials: 0 Screen Outs: 0 Over Quota: 0 responses) PRO New Filter What is your OVERALL RATING of the usefulness to you of the 2nd AMS Data Analysis Clinic Mtg (2010)? **Statistics** PREHIUM Show Statistics 5 (extremely useful) 33% Responses 4 (very useful) 37% 10 Completes 3 (quite useful) 8 30% Partials Screen Outs 2 (somewhat useful) O 0% Over Quota 1 (a little useful) 0 0% APPLY 0 (not useful at all) O 0% Total 27 100% **Survey Coach** Rate the Importance and Usefulness of the TOPICS discussed in the 2010 AMS Data Analysis Clinic 2. » Learn how to use filters Top number is the count » View a demo of reporting of respondents selecting the option. Bottom % is percent of Not so useful or Medium Most useful or important important the total respondents selecting the option. General Issues calibrations, CE, RIE, O Ω 0% MS 0% 7% 44% intercomparisons. PMF and its 0 application, error 0% 11% estimation HR issues: fittings, 0 HR errors, elemental 4% 0% 12% analysis etc. 3. Rate the various ORGANIZATIONAL ASPECTS of the meeting: Top number is the count of respondents selecting the option. Bottom % is percent of the total respondents selecting the option. Excellent Poor Location and Time of 0 Ω 46% the Meeting 0% 0% 11% 43% Organization 0 0 Separate from a 0% 0% 18% 21% Major Conference Logistics: room, 0 0 internet, coffee, 0% 0% 7% 36% lunches, breaks. Order and Time 20% 30% Allocation of Topics 0% 4% Opportunity to Work 0 2 on and Discuss your 30% 30% 0% 7% 33% Data Opportunity to 0 0 Discuss with Other 0% 0% 14% Users Do you have any COMMENTS about the 2010 Clinic? (Leave blank if none)

Versions "Specialized versions": LS-AMS, SP-AMS, TAG-AMS, MAB-AMS 2 7% Both Standard AMS and Specialized 6 21% versions Total 28 100%

Products & Services | About Us | Support/Help | Zoomerang Forums

© 2010 Copyright MarketTools Inc. All Rights Reserved. | Privacy Policy | Terms Of Use

Jose-Luis Jimenez, Basic Member

Upgrade Support/Help | My Account | Log Out

Home

Create Survey

My Surveys

My Contacts

2nd AMS Data Analysis Clinic Mtg (2010) Evaluation

Analyze Results Edit & Review Invite & Deploy Share Results

» Individual Responses » Raw Data Export Results

Results Overview: Open Ended Responses Filter: No filter applied (7 Response(s) Returned)

« Return to Results Overview

PRO Print | Excel Export

Display 25 Per Page

Displaying 1-7 of 7 Responses Select Page: First | Previous | Next | Last

4. Do you have any COMMENTS about the 2010 Clinic? (Leave blank if none)	
#	Response
1	Some users were a little quiet and did not seem ready to present their own data. Everyone should be encouraged to present.
2	I attend the previous data clinic in 2009 and felt that that was more useful. I can't really put my finger on why though. Overall though, it was helpful and I appreciate the effort of the CU group (and all the users).
3	I think we spent a bit too much time on PMF. It was almost a full day, and probably about half of the people in the room don't do PMF and were therefore not that interested.
4	I felt a lot of time was spent on the first day discussing the UHSAS which was a little off topic. I also felt as a community we should have discussed the elemental analysis errors more and come to a consensus as to how to evaluate and report them rather than just discussing fitting uncertainty.
5	I wish there had been more time to work on your own data, like at the first clinic. I think the perfect format would be somewhere between the data centric first clinic and the talk centered second clinic.
6	The clinic is excellent, but the order of the topics can be rearranged a little bit depending on the level of interest. For instance, in the future maybe the PMF section can be moved to the beginning/end of the meeting so that users who are not running PMF can choose whether they want to attend.
7	The room from 2009 was better.

Display 25 Per Page

Displaying 1-7 of 7 Responses Select Page: First | Previous | Next | Last

Products & Services | About Us | Support/Help | Zoomerang Forums

© 2010 Copyright MarketTools Inc. All Rights Reserved. | Privacy Policy | Terms Of Use

Jose-Luis Jimenez, Basic Member

Upgrade now to Support/Help | My Account | Log Out

Home

Create Survey

My Surveys

My Contacts

2nd AMS Data Analysis Clinic Mtg (2010) Evaluation

Analyze Results Edit & Review Invite & Deploy Share Results

» Individual Responses » Raw Data Export Results

Results Overview: Open Ended Responses Filter: No filter applied (5 Response(s) Returned)

PRO « Return to Results Overview Print | Excel Export Display 25 V Per Page Displaying 1-5 of 5 Responses Select Page: First | Previous | Next | Last 6. Do you have any SUGGESTIONS for the CONTENT or ORGANIZATION of the 2011 AMS Data Analysis Clinic? # Response 4 days is the right length, more would be too much and less would be too short to justify the trip. It is important to have several advanced users (Doug, James, Donna, Pete, Leah, Mike...) present. Thanks to CU and Aerodyne for the organization, free registration, dinner... One suggestion: it would be nice to form small groups to discuss about topics like: baseline, m/z calibration, RIE, peak shape, pika fittings... same way as happened in the first Clinic. It could happen 2 in the last day for example, so people who doesn't want to participate can just leave. I think that we should have more time to work with our data sets. I felt like the clinic ran out of steam after 3 1/2 days. I suggest that you either shorten the clinic to 3 - 3.5 days instead of 4 OR make the first 1/2 day of the clinic (when people are not burnt out) a mandatory 'work on your own data set' period for people to get one-on-one support from Donna etc and get a better handle on the specific analysis details that remain unclear. maybe we can try to get through all of the topics that are on the list in the first three days, and then spend the fourth day on more in depth discussions (e.g. the "how to choose a solution in PMF" this year)

Display 25 Per Page

Displaying 1-5 of 5 Responses Select Page: First | Previous | Next | Last

Products & Services | About Us | Support/Help | Zoomerang Forums

© 2010 Copyright MarketTools Inc. All Rights Reserved. | Privacy Policy | Terms Of Use